UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ARMEL RASHARD JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

v. 3:17-CV-0859 (BKS/DEP)

PAROLE OFFICER RICK HOYT, et al.,

Defendants.

Appearances:

Armel Rashard Johnson Binghamton, NY 13904 Plaintiff, pro se

Christopher J. Hummel, Esq. Hon. Letitia James Office of New York State Attorney General The Capitol Albany, NY 12224 Attorney for Defendants

Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, United States District Judge:

MEMORANDUM-DECISION AND ORDER

Plaintiff Armel Rashard Johnson commenced this civil rights action asserting claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the Defendant New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision Parole Officers for violating his constitutional rights during a June 5, 2017 incident at his residence in Binghamton, New York. (Dkt. No. 1). This matter was assigned to United States Magistrate Judge David E. Peebles who, on March 8, 2019, issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that this action be dismissed in its entirety, under Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon Plaintiff's failure to prosecute

and failure to comply with the Court's orders and local rules of practice. (Dkt. No. 39).

Magistrate Judge Peebles advised the parties that under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), they had fourteen

days within which to file written objections to the report, and that the failure to object to the

report within fourteen days would preclude appellate review. (Dkt. No. 39, at 10). No

objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed.

As no objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed, and the time for

filing objections has expired, the Court reviews the Report and Recommendation for clear error.

See Petersen v. Astrue, 2 F. Supp. 3d 223, 228–29 (N.D.N.Y. 2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)

advisory committee's note to 1983 amendment. Having reviewed the Report and

Recommendation for clear error and found none, the Court adopts the Report and

Recommendation in its entirety.

For these reasons, it is

ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 39) is **ADOPTED** in its

entirety; and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED** in its entirety, under Rule 41(b)

of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon his failure to prosecute and failure to comply

with the Court's orders and local rules of practice; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this Order upon the parties in accordance with

the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 8, 2019

Brenda K. Sannes

hendatSamos

U.S. District Judge

2